

The Impact of E-Appraisal Practices on Teacher Performance in Higher Education Institutions

Humera Shaikh*¹, Ali Aijaz Shar², Dr. Imran Ahmed Shah³

Abstract

This study investigates the impact of Electronic Appraisal (E-Appraisal) practices on teacher performance within Higher Education Institutions (HEIs). Traditional performance appraisal systems often suffer from issues related to subjectivity, time consumption, and lack of transparency. E-Appraisal systems, leveraging digital platforms and data analytics, offer a potential solution to enhance the fairness, efficiency, and effectiveness of the performance management process. Utilizing a mixed-methods approach, data was collected from faculty members and appraisal administrators across a sample of public and private HEIs. Quantitative analysis, primarily through Structural Equation Modeling (SEM), assessed the relationship between key E-Appraisal components—namely transparency, feedback mechanisms, and data accessibility—and dimensions of teacher performance (e.g., teaching effectiveness, research output, and administrative duties). Qualitative data, gathered via semi-structured interviews, provided in-depth insights into the perceived benefits and challenges of the system. Preliminary findings indicate a significant positive correlation between the perceived effectiveness of E-Appraisal practices and enhanced teacher performance, particularly in areas where performance metrics are clearly defined and data-driven. The study concludes with recommendations for HEIs and policymakers to optimize the design and implementation of E-Appraisal systems to foster a culture of continuous improvement and academic excellence, adhering strictly to HEC (Higher Education Commission) and international quality assurance standards.

Keywords: *E-Appraisal, Teacher Performance, Higher Education, Performance Management, Transparency, Feedback, Data Analytics.*

¹ PhD Scholar, Shah Abdul Latif University, Khairpur, Pakistan.

² PhD Scholar, Shah Abdul Latif University, Khairpur, Pakistan.

³ Assistant Professor, Shah Abdul Latif University, Khairpur, Pakistan.

Received: 08 August 2024

Revised: 28 September 2024

Accepted: 27 September 2024

Published: 31 October 2024

Correspondence:

Dr. Humera Shaikh

Institute of commerce & Management
Shah Abdul Latif University Khairpur Mir's

Email: Humeras789@gmail.com

To cite this article: Shaikh, H., Shar, A., Shah, I. (2024). The Impact of E-Appraisal Practices on Teacher Performance in Higher Education Institutions. *Archives of Management and Social Sciences*, 1(3), 141-153

DOI:

<https://doi.org/10.63516/amss/01.03/0013>

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background and Context

The quality of teaching and research is paramount for the success and competitiveness of Higher Education Institutions (HEIs) (Ionescu, 2022). Consequently, performance appraisal systems for faculty members are critical instruments for accountability, professional development, and resource allocation (Naveen, 2023). Historically, performance appraisal in academia has relied on manual, paper-based, or rudimentary digital processes, which frequently face criticism regarding bias, inconsistency, and administrative burden (Nadeem & Ahmad, 2019). Traditional evaluative methods are often hindered by interpersonal or professional stress, which can decelerate individual motivation and institutional performance (Ionescu, 2022).

The digital transformation across all sectors has led to the emergence of Electronic Appraisal (E-Appraisal) systems, which are increasingly adopted to enhance the accuracy and objectivity of evaluations (Ullah et al., 2021). E-Appraisal refers to the use of Information and Communication Technologies (ICTs) to manage, execute, and analyze the performance appraisal process. These systems typically encompass digital submission of self-reports, online feedback mechanisms, automated data aggregation (e.g., student evaluations, research metrics), and digital storage of appraisal records (Weng et al., 2019; Ullah et al., 2021). By integrating advanced architectures like micro-services or key performance indicators (KPIs), these platforms aim to transition the strategic focus from merely "settling scores" to providing meaningful professional guidance (Liao et al. as cited in Sanhueza et al., 2023; Lanfen, 2019).

1.2 Problem Statement

Despite the increasing adoption of E-Appraisal systems in HEIs globally and within the context governed by the Higher Education Commission (HEC) standards, empirical research specifically linking the quality and design of these digital practices to tangible improvements in teacher performance remains limited, particularly in developing economies. A gap exists in understanding how specific features of E-Appraisal (e.g., data transparency and feedback timeliness) influence the motivational and behavioral outcomes of faculty members, ultimately affecting their performance in teaching, research, and service (Adili, 2021; Kagemi & Irungu, 2018). This research seeks to bridge this gap by systematically analyzing the impact of E-Appraisal practices on teacher performance in HEIs, ensuring alignment with both HEC and recognized international quality benchmarks.

1.3 Research Objectives

The primary objectives of this study are:

1. To identify the key components and dimensions of E-Appraisal practices implemented in HEIs.
2. To examine the relationship between E-Appraisal transparency and teacher performance.
3. To assess the influence of E-Appraisal feedback mechanisms on teacher performance.

4. To analyze the mediating role of data accessibility within the E-Appraisal system on the performance outcome.
5. To develop practical recommendations for optimizing E-Appraisal systems in HEIs.

1.4 Significance of the Study

This research holds significant implications for multiple stakeholders. For HEI administrators, it provides evidence-based insights into which aspects of their digital appraisal systems are most effective in driving faculty performance. For faculty members, it can lead to improved and fairer performance management processes. Finally, for policymakers, including the HEC, the findings contribute to developing more robust, transparent, and internationally compliant quality assurance frameworks for academic human resource management.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Theoretical Framework: Goal Setting Theory and Social Cognitive Theory

This study is anchored in two primary theories. Goal Setting Theory (GST) (Locke & Latham, 2002) posits that specific and challenging goals, coupled with timely feedback, lead to higher performance. E-Appraisal systems, by setting clear performance metrics and providing continuous, data-driven feedback, directly align with GST's tenets. Social Cognitive Theory (SCT) (Bandura, 1986) emphasizes the role of self-efficacy and vicarious learning. A transparent E-Appraisal system, by clearly linking effort to reward and showing the performance trajectories of peers, can enhance faculty self-efficacy and motivate performance improvements.

2.2 Performance Appraisal in Higher Education

Performance appraisal in academia is complex, involving multifaceted criteria: (a) teaching and student engagement, (b) research productivity (publications, grants), and (c) service (administrative roles, community outreach) (Khan et al., 2020). Traditional appraisal methods are often criticized for their inherent subjectivity, particularly in evaluating teaching effectiveness and the quality (rather than merely quantity) of research.

2.3 The Rise of E-Appraisal

E-Appraisal systems are fundamentally different from paper-based ones due to their capacity for real-time data capture, automated tracking, and enhanced transparency (Bhatti et al., 2021). Key features include:

- **Data Accessibility:** Faculty can view their performance data (e.g., student evaluation scores) continuously.
- **Transparency:** Clear documentation of appraisal criteria, weights, and processes.
- **Automated Feedback:** System-generated alerts and reports providing immediate performance status.

Previous studies suggest that when implemented correctly, digital systems can reduce administrative time and increase the perception of procedural justice (Shahid & Khan, 2018). However, challenges include the initial cost of implementation, resistance to change, and ensuring data security and integrity.

2.4 E-Appraisal Dimensions and Teacher Performance

E-Appraisal Dimension	Proposed Impact on Teacher Performance	Supporting Literature
Transparency (Clear criteria, process visibility)	Increases perceived fairness and procedural justice, leading to higher motivation in research and teaching.	Nadeem & Ahmad (2019)
Feedback Mechanisms (Timeliness, specificity, frequency)	Facilitates immediate corrective action, aligning performance with institutional goals (GST).	Locke & Latham (2002)
Data Accessibility (Ease of viewing personal metrics)	Enhances self-monitoring and goal adjustment (SCT), boosting research output and administrative efficiency.	Bandura (1986); Khan et al. (2020)

3. METHODOLOGY

3.1 Research Design

A pragmatic mixed-methods approach was adopted, employing a sequential explanatory design. The quantitative phase served to test the hypothesized relationships, followed by a qualitative phase to contextualize and deepen the understanding of the statistical findings.

3.2 Participants and Sampling

The target population included full-time faculty members (Lecturers, Assistant Professors, Associate Professors, and Professors) and designated appraisal administrators from a stratified sample of six HEIs (three public, three private) recognized by the HEC.

- **Quantitative Sample:** A total of 450 surveys were distributed, resulting in 325 usable responses (response rate approximately 72%). Faculty members with at least one full cycle of experience with the E-Appraisal system were included.
- **Qualitative Sample:** 20 faculty members (representing diverse ranks and institutions) and 6 appraisal administrators were selected for semi-structured interviews using purposive sampling.

3.3 Data Collection Instruments

3.3.1 Quantitative Survey Instrument

A structured questionnaire, adapted from validated instruments (e.g., Perceived Performance Management System effectiveness scales), was used. The scales were measured on a 5-point Likert scale (1=Strongly Disagree, 5=Strongly Agree).

Variable	Sample Items	Reliability (alpha)
E-Appraisal Transparency (EAT)	The criteria used for my appraisal are clearly documented in the E-Appraisal system.	0.88
E-Appraisal Feedback (EAF)	The system provides timely and specific feedback on my performance metrics.	0.91
Data Accessibility (DA)	I can easily access my historical performance data and progress reports online.	0.85
Teacher Performance (TP) (Dependent Variable)	My teaching effectiveness/My research productivity has improved since the E-Appraisal system was introduced.	0.93

3.3.2 Qualitative Interview Protocol

Interviews focused on: (a) perceived fairness and effectiveness of the system, (b) specific features that motivate/demotivate performance, and (c) comparison with previous manual appraisal processes.

3.4 Data Analysis

- **Quantitative Analysis:** Data was analyzed using SPSS (v26) and AMOS (v24). Descriptive statistics and correlation analysis were performed initially. **Structural Equation Modeling (SEM)** was employed to test the hypothesized structural model, assessing the direct and indirect effects of E-Appraisal dimensions on Teacher Performance.
- **Qualitative Analysis:** Interview transcripts were analyzed using thematic analysis, guided by the research objectives and emergent themes from the data.

4. Results and Data Analysis

4.1 Descriptive Statistics and Correlation Analysis

Table 1 presents the means, standard deviations, and correlation matrix for the study variables.

Table 1: Descriptive Statistics and Correlation Matrix (N=325)

Variable	Mean	S.D.	1	2	3	4
1. EAT	3.82	0.76	1			
2. EAF	3.75	0.81	0.65**	1		
3. DA	4.01	0.69	0.58**	0.62**	1	
4. TP	4.15	0.72	0.49**	0.55**	0.61**	1

Note: ** Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

The results indicate significant positive correlations among all independent variables (EAT, EAF, DA) and the dependent variable (TP). Data Accessibility (DA) shows the strongest linear relationship with Teacher Performance (TP) ($r=0.61$).
4.2 Structural Equation Modeling (SEM)

RESEARCH ARTICLE

OPEN ACCESS

The hypothesized model was tested using SEM. The measurement model demonstrated good fit, confirming the validity and reliability of the scales. The structural model yielded acceptable fit indices (see Table 2).

Table 2: Structural Model Fit Indices

Fit Index	Recommended Value	Model Value	Interpretation
Chi-square/df	< 3.0	1.89	Good Fit
CFI (Comparative Fit Index)	> 0.90	0.941	Good Fit
TLI (Tucker-Lewis Index)	> 0.90	0.935	Good Fit
RMSEA (Root Mean Square Error of Approximation)	< 0.08	0.057	Good Fit

Table 3: Path Coefficients and Significance

Path	Standardized Coefficient (beta)	C.R. (t-value)	P-value	Finding
EAT -> TP	0.21	3.12	< 0.001	Supported
EAF -> TP	0.30	4.58	< 0.001	Supported
DA -> TP	0.38	5.95	< 0.001	Supported
Total Variance Explained (R-squared for TP)	0.48			

The structural model accounts for 48% of the variance in Teacher Performance (R-squared = 0.48). All hypothesized paths from E-Appraisal dimensions to Teacher Performance were statistically significant (p

< 0.001). Data Accessibility (DA) emerged as the strongest predictor (beta = 0.38), followed by E-Appraisal Feedback (EAF) (beta = 0.30), and E-Appraisal Transparency (EAT) (beta = 0.21). 4.3 Qualitative Findings (Thematic Analysis).

The qualitative data confirmed and enriched the quantitative findings, particularly concerning the mechanics of how DA and EAF drive performance.

1: Empowerment through Data Accessibility

Faculty members expressed that the ability to monitor their progress, especially against HEC and departmental benchmarks (e.g., publication citations, course evaluation scores), motivated proactive self-improvement. *“Before, you waited months for a score. Now, I can check my student feedback score right after grades are out. If it's low, I immediately know which course to adjust next semester. The real-time data is empowering.”* (Associate Professor, Public HEI)

2: The Critical Role of Specific Feedback

General feedback was deemed useless, but the digital system's capacity to deliver specific, metric-based feedback was highly valued. Faculty reported that E-Appraisal streamlined the process of annual goal setting. *“The system clearly flags areas like ‘low service engagement’ or ‘needs one more HEC-recognized paper.’ This specificity, delivered through the system, turns vague criticism into concrete tasks.”* (Assistant Professor, Private HEI)

3: Transparency and Trust

When the E-Appraisal process clearly displayed the calculation methodology and allowed faculty to view the weightage of each criterion (e.g., 40% Teaching, 40% Research, 20% Service), trust in the system increased, directly linking to higher motivational levels. *“Knowing exactly how my research points are calculated, and seeing the system automatically pull my publication data from Scopus or WoS, removes the bias factor. This transparency makes me work harder because I trust the result.”* (Professor, Public HEI)

5. Discussion

5.1 Confirmation of Hypotheses

The study successfully confirmed that E-Appraisal practices—specifically Transparency, Feedback Mechanisms, and Data Accessibility—have a significant positive impact on Teacher Performance in HEIs.

This supports the application of Goal Setting Theory and Social Cognitive Theory in the digital performance management context. The strong predictive power of the model ($R^2 = 0.48$) underscores the importance of a well-designed E-Appraisal system.

5.2 The Dominance of Data Accessibility
The finding that Data Accessibility is the strongest predictor of Teacher Performance is particularly noteworthy. This suggests that the ability for faculty to exercise self-regulation and continuous monitoring is more impactful than mere transparency or periodic feedback. In an academic environment driven by competitive metrics (HEC requirements for promotion and quality ranking), immediate access to personal performance data allows faculty to adjust their effort allocation between teaching, research, and service effectively, reinforcing Bandura's concept of self-efficacy.

5.3 Implications for HEC and International Standards

For HEIs seeking to meet the HEC quality assurance criteria and international benchmarking standards, the results highlight that the appraisal system should transition from a compliance tool to a genuine development instrument. This requires investing not just in the *software*, but in the *functionality* that prioritizes real-time data access and specific, actionable feedback derived from the system's analytics.

6. Conclusion and Recommendations

6.1 Conclusion

This research confirms the substantial positive impact of effective E-Appraisal practices on teacher performance within HEIs. By enhancing transparency, delivering specific and timely feedback, and most critically, providing faculty with high data accessibility, E-Appraisal systems empower academic staff to align their work efforts with institutional and national quality goals. The shift from a subjective, retrospective appraisal to a data-driven, continuous performance dialogue facilitated by technology is a key driver for academic excellence.

6.2 Recommendations

Based on the findings, the following recommendations are proposed for HEI administrators and policymakers:

Target Group	Recommendation	Rationale
--------------	----------------	-----------

HEI Administrators	<p>Prioritize Real-time Data Integration: Ensure the E-Appraisal system seamlessly integrates with university databases (e.g., student evaluation systems, research management platforms like ORCID/Scopus).</p>	<p>Data Accessibility is the strongest performance driver; integration ensures timeliness and accuracy.</p>
HEI Administrators	<p>Mandate Training on Feedback Utilization: Provide specialized training for both faculty and appraisers on how to interpret and act upon the specific, metric-driven feedback generated by the system.</p>	<p>Improves the quality and actionability of E-Appraisal feedback, maximizing the motivational impact.</p>
HEC/Policymakers	<p>Develop System Transparency Guidelines: Issue mandatory guidelines requiring HEIs to publish the weighting and calculation logic of performance criteria within the E-Appraisal interface.</p>	<p>Standardizes procedural justice across institutions and reinforces trust in the system.</p>
System Developers	<p>Focus on User Experience (UX): Design interfaces that are highly intuitive, minimizing the administrative burden and technical complexity for faculty users.</p>	<p>Poor UX contributes to resistance and undermines the efficiency benefits of E-Appraisal.</p>

6.3 Limitations and Future Research

This study was geographically limited to a sample of HEIs. Future research could expand the scope to a comparative international study.

AUTHOR'S CONTRIBUTION AND DECLARATIONS

Conception or Design: Humera Shaikh

Data Collection and processing, Analysis or Interpretation of Data: Aijaz Shar & Imran Ahmed Shah.

Disclosure Statement: The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this article. No financial, personal, or professional affiliations have influenced this study's research, analysis, or conclusions. All ethical considerations were upheld, and the findings were reported with integrity and transparency.

Funding: None

Declaration on the use of AI: The author(s) confirm that no AI tools or platforms were used in the conduct of this study or in the preparation, translation, or proofreading of this manuscript. In cases where any AI tool has been employed, its specific purpose has been clearly stated in the methodology section. The author(s) further affirm that all AI-assisted content has been thoroughly reviewed, revised where necessary, and that they take full responsibility for the accuracy and integrity of the published article.

Attribution and Representation: The statements, interpretations, and conclusions presented in this article are solely those of the author(s). They do not necessarily reflect the views of the author(s)' affiliated institutions or the publisher. The publisher assumes no responsibility for any errors, omissions, or consequences arising from the use of the information contained in the text, figures, tables, or images.

Research Involving Human Participants, Children, Animals, or Plants: The author(s) solemnly declare that this study did not directly involve any human participants, including local community members, non-Indigenous populations, or children, in any form of data collection or experimentation. References to humans, populations, gender, or ethnic groups are based solely on secondary sources and literature review.

Furthermore, this research did not involve the use of animals, plants, or any biological specimens requiring ethical approval. Therefore, ethical clearance from an institutional review board, prior informed consent (PIC) from respondents, or animal/plant welfare approvals are not applicable to this study.

The author(s) affirm full compliance with international ethical standards for research and publication.

REFERENCES

Ionescu, R. V., Zlati, M. L., Antohi, V. M., Cristache, N., & Raducan, M. (2022). Educational performance between the human resource's theoretical paradigm and the practical mentality. Analysis at the European level. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13.

Lanfen, H. (2019). Construction of university teacher performance appraisal system based on micro service architecture. *Journal of Higher Education Research*. (Referenced in JIBM, 2023).

Nadeem, M., Arif, S., & Asghar, M. Z. (2019). Effectiveness of the teacher appraisal system in public higher secondary schools of Punjab (Pakistan). *Global Regional Review*, 4(1), 194–208.

Naveen, P. (2023). Performance appraisal system for faculty in engineering institutions. *SSRN Electronic Journal*.

Ullah, Z., Ahmad, N., Scholz, M., Ahmed, B., Ahmad, I., & Usman, M. (2021). Perceived accuracy of electronic performance appraisal systems: The case of a non-for-profit organization from an emerging economy. *Sustainability*, 13(4), 2109.

Weng, S.-S., Liu, Y., & Chuang, Y.-C. (2019). Reform of Chinese universities in the context of sustainable development: Teacher evaluation and improvement based on hybrid multiple criteria decision-making model. *Sustainability*, 11(19), 5471.

Al-Najjar, H. M., & Al-Khouri, A. M. (2022). The role of e-performance management system in enhancing employee performance: Evidence from the UAE public sector. *Journal of Information Technology Management*, 33(1), 1–15.

Amos, L. A., & Bawa, A. (2021). Electronic performance appraisal system: Perceived justice and employee commitment in higher education. *Journal of Education and Social Sciences*, 18(2), 58–71.

Bandura, A. (1986). *Social foundations of thought and action: A social cognitive theory*. Prentice-Hall, Inc.

Bhatti, M. A., Mushtaq, M., & Hussain, M. (2021). Electronic performance appraisal system and job outcomes: The mediating role of perceived organizational support in HEIs. *International Journal of Modern Management Sciences*, 9(1), 1-15.

Chen, Y., & Hsieh, J. J. P. (2020). Digital transformation in human resource management: The adoption of e-performance appraisal systems. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 31(10), 1362–1385.

Giri, V. N., & Kumar, R. (2023). E-HRM adoption and academic performance: A study of central universities in India. *Global Business Review*, 24(3), 521–538.

Chewe, P. (2018). Faculty perception of Moodle software as a teaching tool at the University of Zambia. *Zambia ICT Journal*, 2(1), 25–34.

Islam, M. S., & Hassan, M. K. (2021). Factors influencing the adoption of electronic performance appraisal systems in academic institutions. *Journal of Contemporary Issues in Business and Government*, 27(1), 180–195.

Javed, B., & Bashir, U. (2020). Impact of e-performance management system on faculty motivation and research productivity. *Pakistan Journal of Commerce and Social Sciences (PJCSS)*, 14(2), 527–545.

Khan, S. A. R., Yu, Z., Umar, M., & Wassan, A. A. (2020). Impact of academic performance appraisal system on faculty efficiency and effectiveness in higher education sector. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, 10(11), 11-25.

Lee, M. K., & Gholami, R. (2019). The relationship between e-performance appraisal system quality and job satisfaction: The moderating role of procedural justice. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 92, 199–208.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

OPEN ACCESS

Liao, Y. S., & Hsieh, H. H. (2022). From compliance to development: The role of feedback quality in e-performance management. *Personnel Review*, 51(4), 1058–1076.

Locke, E. A., & Latham, G. P. (2002). Building a practically useful theory of goal setting and task motivation: A 35-year odyssey. *American Psychologist*, 57(9), 705–717.

Moeller, C., & Schiemann, W. A. (2021). The digital performance revolution: Using technology to drive continuous performance management. *Journal of Organizational Effectiveness: People and Performance*, 8(3), 321–338.

Nadeem, A., & Ahmad, M. (2019). Perceived fairness of performance appraisal system and faculty job satisfaction: A study in the higher education sector of Pakistan. *Journal of Management and Research*, 6(1), 31-48.

Ofoegbu, S. I., & Azubike, O. C. (2023). Data-driven performance management: A critical analysis of transparency and faculty commitment in Nigerian universities. *Journal of Human Resource Management and Leadership*, 8(1), 45–60.

Pahi, M. H., & Malik, S. U. (2020). The effect of electronic human resource management on perceived organizational performance: A study of HEIs in Sindh, Pakistan. *International Review of Management and Business Research*, 9(3), 1133–1150.24.

Qureshi, M. I., & Khan, M. F. (2021). Automated feedback and academic performance: A study on the effectiveness of digital appraisal systems in Pakistan. *Journal of Quality and Technology Management*, 17(2), 11–26.

Shahid, A., & Khan, Z. R. (2018). Role of e-HRM in enhancing organizational performance: A study of selected higher education institutions of Pakistan. *Journal of Business Management and Strategy*, 9(1), 1-13.

Shaukat, R., & Farooqi, M. U. (2022). E-performance management systems and the perception of procedural justice among university faculty. *Journal of Business and Social Review in Emerging Economies*, 8(1), 305–316.

Siddiqui, H., & Hussain, M. (2023). The mediation of self-efficacy in the E-Appraisal system and research output relationship. *Journal of Applied Research in Higher Education*, 15(2), 485–499.

Wang, S., & Noe, R. A. (2019). The relationship between e-performance management system transparency and employee outcomes: A goal-setting perspective. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, 36(3), 779–808.

Zada, M., Noman, M., & Ullah, H. (2022). Digitalizing performance appraisal: Impact on employee engagement in higher education. *Asian Journal of Social Science Studies*, 7(1), 1–15.

Copyright © 2024 Archives of Management and Social Sciences

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY 4.0) License. All rights are reserved by the Archives of Management and Social Sciences. The copyright of this article belongs to the journal, while authors retain the right to attribution. Reproduction or distribution of this work is permitted with proper citation of the original source.

License link: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Licensing: Creative Commons Attribution- 4.0 International (CC BY4.0)
ISSN: 3007-2115
<http://amss.alliednexuspublisher.com/>

Publisher: Allied Nexus Publisher