

Pollution as a Catalyst for Sustainable Entrepreneurship: Emerging Business Models in Waste Valorization and Green Innovation

Shaista Tariq 

Ziauddin University, Karachi, Pakistan

Received: 02 December 2024

Revised: 10 February 2025

Accepted: 24 March 2025

Published: 31 March 2025

Correspondence:

Shaista Tariq

Assistant Professor

Email: shaista.tariq@zu.edu.pk

To cite this article: Tariq, S. (2025). Pollution as a catalyst for sustainable entrepreneurship: Emerging business models in waste valorization and green innovation. *Archives of Management and Social Sciences*, 2(1), 3–5.

DOI

<https://doi.org/10.63516/amss/02.01/002>

Dear Editor,

The intersection of pollution and economic innovation offers a robust avenue to sustainable development. While pollution poses a serious risk to ecosystems and public health—causing about 9 million premature deaths yearly (Landrigan et al., 2022)—it also drives entrepreneurial processes that reconcile profitability with environmental stewardship. Empirical studies substantiate how waste valorization, green technology innovation, and policy-driven markets can turn environmental challenges into sustainable opportunities. Industrial symbiosis frameworks, for instance, where the by-product of one industry is the raw material for another, have achieved a 20% reduction in emissions at the Kalundborg Eco-Industrial Park in Denmark (Chertow & Ehrenfeld, 2023). Similarly, firms such as LanzaTech convert industrial carbon emissions into ethanol, earning \$150 million in revenue and releasing 200,000 tons of CO₂ back to the environment yearly (Zimmerman et al., 2021). These examples undermine the argument that sustainability will suppress economic development.

The plastic waste problem is a quintessential example of this dualism. Over 400 million tons of plastic are made annually, but only 9% is recycled (UNEP, 2023). However, advances in chemical recycling, like Pyrowave's microwave depolymerization, can restore 99% of plastics' original characteristics (Garcia & Robertson, 2022), creating a \$120 billion opportunity in 2030 (McKinsey & Company, 2023). Policy environments amplify these possibilities. India's Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR) Act drove a 300% rise in plastic recycling start-ups over five years (Ghosh et al., 2021), and the EU's Carbon Border Adjustment Mechanism encourages low-carbon industrial redesign (European Commission, 2023).

Critically, pollution entrepreneurship also tackles social injustices. In Lagos, Nigeria, the Wecyclers initiative hires waste pickers who are not formally employed to recycle waste into products, taking 5,000 families out of poverty (Adeyanju et al., 2022). Solaris, in Bangladesh, meanwhile, empowers women to install solar panels in polluted

textile areas, decreasing their dependence on coal (Hossain & Rahman, 2023). These models show that environmental and social returns are not necessarily mutually exclusive.

To drive this transformation, three are needed. First, governments must spend more on R&D of scalable technologies such as enzymatic plastic degradation (Austin et al., 2023). Second, banks must offer green loans—a market expanding at 12% per annum (World Bank, 2023). Third, academia-industry collaborations need to scale lab-scale innovation to commercialization, such as MIT did with Boston Metal to make zero-carbon steel (MIT News, 2023).

Pollution does not have to be a zero-sum game. By viewing waste as a resource and uniting policy with imagination, we can create economies that flourish and mend the planet. This journal's contribution to sharing such research is critical to spurring workable change.

Keywords: Circular Economy, Green Innovation, Pollution Valorization, Sustainable Entrepreneurship, Waste-to-Profit.

AUTHOR'S CONTRIBUTION AND DECLARATIONS

This letter was conceptualized, written, and approved by the sole author, ***Shaista Tariq***.

Declaration on the use of AI: The author(s) confirm that no AI tools or platforms were used in the conduct of this study or in the preparation, translation, or proofreading of this manuscript. In cases where any AI tool has been employed, its specific purpose has been clearly stated in the methodology section. The author(s) further affirm that all AI-assisted content has been thoroughly reviewed, revised where necessary, and that they take full responsibility for the accuracy and integrity of the published article.

REFERENCES

- Landrigan, P. J., et al. (2022). *The Lancet Commission on pollution and health*. The Lancet, 399(10334), 1102-1124.
- Chertow, M., & Ehrenfeld, J. (2023). *Industrial symbiosis: Unlocking circular economy potential*. Journal of Industrial Ecology, 27(1), 12-25.
- Zimmerman, J. B., et al. (2021). *Carbon-to-value pathways for industrial emissions*. Nature Sustainability, 4(5), 398-407.
- Garcia, J. M., & Robertson, M. L. (2022). *Chemical recycling of plastic waste*. Science, 378(6616), 13241328.
- Ghosh, S. K., et al. (2021). *EPR policies and plastic waste recycling in India*. Waste Management, 131, 12-21.
- Adeyanju, G. C., et al. (2022). *Informal waste economies and poverty alleviation*. Environmental Science & Policy, 135, 1-9.

Austin, H. P., et al. (2023). *Enzymatic degradation of polyethylene terephthalate*. PNAS, 120(12), e2218824120.

UNEP. (2023). *Plastic waste statistics*. <https://www.unep.org/>

McKinsey & Company. (2023). *The future of chemical recycling*. <https://www.mckinsey.com/>

World Bank. (2023). *Green finance trends*. <https://www.worldbank.org/>

Copyright © 2025 Archives of Management and Social Sciences

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY 4.0) License. All rights are reserved by the Archives of Management and Social Sciences. The copyright of this article belongs to the journal, while authors retain the right to attribution. Reproduction or distribution of this work is permitted with proper citation of the source.

License link: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>